# Defending Our Fathers House 

Marriage \& Family Life USA<br>Census Household Data<br>American Fact Finder ${ }^{1}$

National Data

| 1950 National Data: | Married households - | 78.0\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage of unwed births: ${ }^{1}$ | 3.9\% |
|  | Percent of children living with two married parents: Population: 150,697,361 | 90.8\% ${ }^{2}$ |
| 1960 National Data: | Married households - | $74.3 \%^{3}$ |
|  | Married couples with children | $44.3 \%^{4}$ |
|  | Percentage of unwed births: ${ }^{5}$ | 5.3\% |
|  | Percent of children living with two married parents: | 85.0\% ${ }^{6}$ |
|  | Percent of children living with mother only: | 8.0\% |
|  | Percent of children living with father only: | 1.1\% |
|  | Percent of children living with no parent: | 3.2\% |
| 1980 National Data: | Married households - | 60.8\% |
|  | Married couples with children | $30.7 \%^{7}$ |
|  | Percentage of unwed births: ${ }^{8}$ | 18.4\% |
|  | Percent of children living with two married parents: | 76.0\% |
|  | Percent of children living with mother only: | 10.7\% |
|  | Percent of children living with father only: | 1.1\% |
|  | Percent of children living with no parent: | 2.8\% |
| 1990 National Data: | Married households - | 55.2\% |
|  | Percentage of unwed births: | 28.6\% ${ }^{9}$ |
| $\underline{2000}$ National Data: | Married households | 51.7\% |
|  | Married couples with children - | 23.5\% |

[^0]Percentage of unwed births: ${ }^{10}$ ..... 33.2\%
Percent of children living with two married parents: ..... 68.0\%
Percent of children living with mother only: ..... 22.0\%
Percent of children living with father only: ..... 3.7\%
Percent of children living with no parent: ..... 3.7\%
2012 National Data: Percentage of unwed births: ${ }^{11}$ ..... 40.7\%
Percent of children living with two married parents: ..... 64.1\%
Percent of children living with mother only: ..... 24.4\%
Percent of children living with father only: ..... 3.6\%
Percent of children living with no parent: ..... 3.6\%
2010 Census Data
2010 National Data: Married households - 48\%Percentage of unwed births: ${ }^{12}-40.7 \%$Married couples with children - 20.2\%
Percent of minor child households married: 60.5\%
Population: 308,745,538
Children: 90,786,036 (29.4\%)
2000 Census Data - Michigan
Michigan: Married households - 51.4\%
Percentage of unwed births: 33.3\%
Married couples with children - 23.1\%
Percent of minor child households married: 66.2\%
Population: 9,938,444
2010 Census Data - Michigan
Michigan: Married households - 48\%
Percentage of unwed births: $42.3 \%{ }^{13}{ }^{14}$
Married couples with children - 18.9\%
Percent of minor child households married: 59.7\%
Population: 9,883,640

[^1]2010 Census Data
Percent Children Living With Two Parents ${ }^{15}$

| PARENT'S <br> MARITAL <br> STATUS | ALL <br> CHILDREN | BLACK <br> CHILDREN | WHITE <br> CHILDREN | HISPANIC <br> CHILDREN | ASIAN <br> CHILDREN |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MARRIED* | 65.7 | 34.7 | 71.5 | 60.9 | 84.2 |
| UNMARRIED | 3.6 | 4.6 | 3.4 | 6.0 | 1.4 |

*CATEGORY INCLUDES CHILDREN LIVING WITH BIOLOGICAL, REMARRIED, AND ADOPTIVE PARENTS. DATA FOR MARRIED BIOLOGICAL PARENTS WOULD LIKELY BE LOWER.

## Child Trends Data Banks - Family Structure ${ }^{16}$

Appendix 1 - Living Arrangements of Children Under 18 Years Old, Percentages by Race and Hispanic Origin1: Selected Years, 1960-2014
FAmily $\quad$ Year 1960197019751980200020102011201220132014 Structure

| LIVING WITH Two <br> MARRIED PARENTS* | 87.7 | 85.0 | 80.3 | 76.6 | 69.1 | 65.7 | 65.0 | 64.1 | 64.4 | 64.4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| WHITE | 90.9 | 89.5 | 85.4 | 82.7 | 75.3 | 71.4 | 70.9 | 70.0 | 70.3 | 70.1 |
| WHITE, NON- | - | - | - | - | 77.5 | 74.6 | 75.0 | 73.7 | 74.4 | 74.5 |
| HISPANIC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BLACK | 67.0 | 58.5 | 49.4 | 42.2 | 37.6 | 33.0 | 34.7 | 33.4 | 34.4 | 34.4 |
| HISPANIC | - | 77.7 | - | 75.4 | 65.1 | 60.2 | 60.9 | 59.0 | 58.2 | 57.8 |
| PACIFIC ISLANDER | - | - | - | - | 80.5 | - | - | - | - | - |
| ASIAN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

*CATEGORY INCLUDES CHILDREN LIVING WITH BIOLOGICAL, REMARRIED, AND ADOPTIVE PARENTS. DATA FOR MARRIED BIOLOGICAL PARENTS WOULD LIKELY BE LOWER.

[^2]
# 2010 Census Data Children Born to Unwed Moms ${ }^{17}$ 

## National Data - Percent of U.S. Births Unwed:

Percent of all births unwed: 40.7\%
Percent of births to women under 30 unwed: 53\%
Percent of births to women 20-24: 64.8\%
Percent of births to women 15-19: 90\%
Percent of first-born births unwed: 50\% (all ages)
National Data: Unwed Births By Ethnicity: ${ }^{18}$
Asians: 17.0\%
Non-Hispanic Whites: 29.3\%
Hispanics: 53.5\%
American Indian: 66.9\%
Non-Hispanic Blacks: 72.1\%

## 2012 Census Data <br> Children in Single-Parent Families By Race ${ }^{19}$

Asians: $17^{20} \%$
Non-Hispanic Whites: 25\%
Hispanics: 42\%
American Indian: 53\%
Non-Hispanic Blacks: 67\%

[^3]
## HOUSEHOLDS WITH MINOR CHILDREN:

Percentage That Are Married Family Homes

## NATIONAL \& STATE DATA

| Region | \%Married (Pop. 106) ${ }^{21}$ |  | Region | \%MARR | Ied (Pop. 10 ${ }^{\text { }}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| United States: | 59.7\% (308.7 M) |  | WASH. DC: | 38.8\% | (0.60M) |
| New York: | 59.0\% | (19.4 M) | MASSACHUSETTS: | 64.7\% | (6.55M) |
| California: | 68.4\% | (37.3 M) | Colorado: | 66.4\% | (5.03M) |
| Texas: | 60.9\% | (25.1 M) | Michigan: | 59.7\% | (9.88 M) |
| FLorida: | 61.4\% | (18.8 M) | MISSISSIPPI: | 50.2\% | (2.97 M) |
| ILlinois: | 62.7\% | (12.8 M) | Maryland: | 60.4 \% | (5.77 M) |

SELECTED U.S. CITIES

| Region | \%Married (Pop. 10 ${ }^{6}$ ) | Region | \%Married (Pop. 10 ${ }^{6}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New York City: | 51.4\% (8.17 M) | Bronx NY: | 34.9\% (1.39 M) |
| Chicago il: | 48.1\% (2.70 M) | Las Vegas NV | 57.1\% (0.58 M) |
| Macomb Twp: | 83.2\% (0.08 M) | Cleveland OH: | 27.2\% (0.40 M) |
| Detroit MI: | 24.7\% (0.71 M) | Grand Rapids MI | 53.4\% (0.19 M) |
| Los Angeles CA: | 57.6\% (37.90 M) | Houston TX. | 54.3\% (2.10 M) |
| El Paso TX: | 54.1\% (0.65 M) | San Antonio TX | 49.0 (1.33 M) |

[^4]
## HOUSEHOLDS WITH MINOR CHILDREN:

Percentage That Are Married Family Homes

WASHINGTON, DC AREA COMMUNITIES

| REGION \% | \%Married (Pop. 10 ${ }^{\text {² }}$ ) | Region | \%Married (Pop. 10 ${ }^{\text {² }}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Washington DC: | 38.8\% (0.60M) | BALtimore, MD | 30.1\% (0.62 M) |
| Prince George's cty MD | D 49.3\% (0.86 M) | Mitchellville, MD | 57.8\% (0.11 M) |
| Montgomery cty MD: | 72.5\% (0.97 M) | CApItol Hgis, MD | 36.5\% (0.04 M) |
| Central cty MD | 72.3\% (0.23 M) | District Hgts, MD | 32.1\% (0.06 M) |
| Ротомac MD | 85.4\% (0.45 M) | Bowie, MD | 67.0\% (0.55 M) |
| Arlington cty, VA | 77.5\% (0.21 M) | Alexandria, VA | 66.7\% (0.14 M) |

SELECTED MICHIGAN CITIES

| Region | \%Married (Pop. 10 ${ }^{6}$ ) |  | Region | \%Married (Pop. 10 ${ }^{\text {6 }}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lansing MI: | 51.4\% | (0.11 M) | Ann Arbor MI: | 73.8\% (0.11 M) |
| Flint MI: | 28.3\% | (0.10 M) | Kalamazoo MI: | 57.1\% (0.58 M) |
| Macomb Twp: | 83.2\% | (0.08 M) | Dearborn MI: | 73.6\% (0.10 M) |
| Detroit MI: | 24.7\% | (0.71M) | Grand Rapids MI: | 53.4\% (0.19 M) |
| WarRen, MI: | 56.0\% | (0.13 M) | Troy MI: | 82.7\% (0.08 M) |
| Sterling Hgts: | 72.4\% | (0.13 M) | Pontiac MI: | 32.1\% (0.06 M) |

## HOUSEHOLDS WITH MINOR CHILDREN:

Percentage That Are Married Family Homes

SELECTED WAYNE COUNTY MI CITIES

| Region | \%MarRied (Pop. 10 ${ }^{6}$ ) | Region | \%Married (Pop. 10 ${ }^{\text {6 }}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dearborn MI: | 73.6\% (0.10 M) | Dearborn Hgts MI: $64.2 \%$ (0.06 M) |  |
| Plymouth Twp MI: | 80.4\% (0.03 M) | Canton MI | 76.2\% (0.09 M) |
| Redford MI: | 56.0\% (0.05 M) | Highland Park: | 27.2\% (0.01M) |
| Detroit MI: | 24.7\% (0.71 M) | Westland MI: | 51.8\% (0.08 M) |
| Нamtramck MI: | 59.2\% (0.02 M) | TAYLOR MI: | 45.1\% (0.06 M) |
| Lincoln Park MI: | 52.5\% (0.04 M) | Livonia MI: | 77.5\% (0.10 M) |

## SELECTED OAKLAND COUNTY MI CITIES

| Region | \%Married (Pop. 10 ${ }^{\text {6 }}$ ) | Region | \%Married (Pop. 10 ${ }^{6}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Birmingham MI: | 77.0\% (0.02 M) | Southfield MI: | 44.3\% (0.07 M) |
| Rochester Hills MI: | 80.1\% (0.07 M) | West Bloomfield | 81.6\% (0.06 M) |
| Royal Oak MI: | 73.7\% (0.06 M) | Farmington Hills: | 75.2\% (0.08 M) |
| Bloompield Twp MI: | 81.4\% (0.04 M) | Troy MI: | 82.7\% (0.08 M) |
| Hazel Park | 43.9\% (0.02 M) | Oak Park MI: | 44.6\% (0.03 M) |
| Pontiac MI: | 32.1\% (0.06 M) | Waterford, MI | 63.4\% (0.07 M) |

HOUSEHOLDS WITH MINOR CHILDREN:
Percentage That Are Married Family Homes

SELECTED MACOMB COUNTY CITIES

| Region | \%Married (Pop. 10 ${ }^{\text {6 }}$ ) | Region \% | \%Married (Pop. 10 ${ }^{6}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Clinton Twp: | 56.1\% (0.10 M) | Sterling Hgts MI: | 72.4\% (0.13 M) |
| Shelby Twp: | 74.5\% (0.07 M) | Harrison Twp MI: | 62.8\% (0.02 M) |
| Macomb Twp: | 83.2\% (0.08 M) | Washington Twp MI: | I: $76.9 \%$ (0.03 M) |
| Rosevilue MI: | 51.7\% (0.05 M) | Chesterfield MI: | 70.2\% (0.04 M) |
| St. Claire Shores | 64.7\% (0.06 M) | Warren, MI. | 56.0\% (0.13 M) |
| Eastpoint MI: | 51.6\% (0.03 M) | Mount Clemens MI | 44.7\% (0.02 M) |

## UNWED BIRTHS BY MILLENIAL WOMEN AND MEN AGE 26-31²

Total Births: 57\% Unwed ( $26 \%$ cohabiting; $31 \%$ single, no committed relationship)
Total Births: Women $81 \%$ non-college grads; Men $87 \%$ non-college grads
Total Births: $64 \%$ of women reported at least one unwed birth (Men-63\%)
Total Births: $74 \%$ of women without $4-y r$. college degrees had at least one unwed birth; 70\% of non-4-yr degreed men had at least one unwed birth

TABLE I-4. BIRTHS TO UNMARRIED WOMEN, BY RACE AND HISPANIC ORIGIN OF MOTHER: UNITED STATES, EACH STATE AND TERRITORY. ${ }^{23}$

## BIRTHS TO UNMARRIED WOMEN PERCENT UNMARRIED

| All Races | White | Black | Hispanic | All | White | Black Hispanic |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| U.S....1,607,773 | 622,972 | 420,879 | 489,667 | 40.7 | 29.0 | 72.3 | 53.3 |

[^5]
# UNWED BIRTH RATES BY STATE \& ETHNICITY (CDC 2008 NIHS Data) ${ }^{i}$ 

## Unwed Birth Rates: State Ranks for Whites, Blacks, and Hispanics

For each group, states are ranked from highest unwed birth rate to lowest.

| WHITE NON-HISPANIC |  |  | BLACK NON-HISPANIC |  |  | HISPANIC |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rank | State | Unwed Birth Rate | Rank | State | Unwed Birth Rate | Rank | State | Unwed Birth Rate |
| 1 | West Virginia | 40.8\% | 1 | Wisconsin | 83.9\% | 1 | District of Columbia | 72.6\% |
| 2 | Maine | 39.9 | 2 | Mississippi | 80.5 | 2 | Pennsylvania | 66.4 |
| 3 | Vermont | 39.1 | 3 | Arkansas | 80.3 | 3 | Massachusetts | 66.1 |
| 4 | Indiana | 36.8 | 4 | Illinois | 79.8 | 4 | Rhode Island | 65.8 |
| 5 | Kentucky | 36.5 | 5 | Indiana | 79.5 | 5 | New York | 65.7 |
| 6 | Ohio | 35.5 | 6 | Louisiana | 79.4 | 6 | Delaware | 64.7 |
| 7 | Florida | 35.3 | 7 | District of Columbia | 79.1 | 7 | Connecticut | 64.2 |
| 8 | Oklahoma | 34.4 | 8 | Missouri | 79.1 | 8 | Ohio | 59.5 |
| 9 | Delaware | 34.3 | 9 | Ohio | 79.1 | 9 | New Jersey | 59.4 |
| 10 | Louisiana | 34.2 | 10 | Michigan | 78.9 | 10 | Mississippi | 59.0 |
| 11 | Arkansas | 33.8 | 11 | Tennessee | 78.2 | 11 | New Mexico | 58.8 |
| 12 | Rhode Island | 33.8 | 12 | Pennsylvania | 78.0 | 12 | Minnesota | 57.9 |
| 13 | Missouri | 33.1 | 13 | South Carolina | 78.0 | 13 | Indiana | 57.5 |
| 14 | New Hampshire | 33.1 | 14 | lowa | 77.1 | 14 | Louisiana | 57.1 |
| 15 | Tennessee | 32.8 | 15 | Kentucky | 76.7 | 15 | Maryland | 57.1 |
| 16 | Oregon | 32.0 | 16 | Oklahoma | 75.7 | 16 | Arizona | 56.5 |
| 17 | New Mexico | 31.9 | 17 | West Virginia | 75.0 | 17 | Wisconsin | 53.8 |
| 18 | Mississippi | 31.8 | 18 | Kansas | 74.6 | 18 | Tennessee | 53.7 |
| 19 | lowa | 31.6 | 19 | Alabama | 72.9 | 19 | Kansas | 53.2 |
| 20 | Kansas | 31.1 | 20 | Delaware | 72.7 | 20 | North Carolina | 53.2 |
| 21 | Pennsylvania | 30.9 | 21 | North Carolina | 72.4 | 21 | Kentucky | 53.0 |
| 22 | Nevada | 30.4 | 22 | Nevada | 71.0 | 22 | Illinois | 52.1 |
| 23 | Montana | 30.3 | 23 | Georgia | 70.2 | 23 | Montana | 52.1 |
| 24 | Michigan | 30.2 | 24 | Florida | 69.9 | 24 | California | 51.6 |
| 25 | South Carolina | 30.1 | 25 | Connecticut | 69.8 | 25 | Missouri | 51.5 |
| 26 | Wyoming | 30.0 | 26 | New York | 69.5 | 26 | South Dakota | 51.5 |
| 27 | Arizona | 29.7 | 27 | Nebraska | 68.3 | 27 | Virginia | 51.4 |
| 28 | Washington | 28.6 | 28 | New Jersey | 68.2 | 28 | Wyoming | 51.2 |
| 29 | South Dakota | 27.9 | 29 | California | 68.0 | 29 | Georgia | 50.9 |
| 30 | Wisconsin | 27.9 | 30 | Rhode Island | 68.0 | 30 | Nevada | 50.9 |
| 31 | Nebraska | 27.0 | 31 | Virginia | 66.8 | 31 | Washington | 50.9 |
| 32 | Georgia | 26.9 | 32 | Texas | 66.5 | 32 | lowa | 50.8 |
| 33 | North Dakota | 26.9 | 33 | Maryland | 64.2 | 33 | Florida | 50.5 |
| 34 | Maryland | 26.7 | 34 | Oregon | 63.2 | 34 | Nebraska | 50.4 |
| 35 | North Carolina | 26.7 | 35 | Arizona | 62.8 | 35 | Arkansas | 50.1 |
| 36 | Texas | 26.7 | 36 | Minnesota | 60.9 | 36 | Michigan | 49.8 |
| 37 | Massachusetts | 26.0 | 37 | New Mexico | 60.5 | 37 | South Carolina | 49.8 |
| 38 | Minnesota | 26.0 | 38 | Massachusetts | 58.4 | 38 | Hawaii | 49.5 |
| 39 | Hawaii | 25.9 | 39 | Washington | 54.2 | 39 | Oklahoma | 49.0 |
| 40 | Illinois | 25.9 | 40 | South Dakota | 52.1 | 40 | Texas | 49.0 |
| 41 | Alabama | 25.6 | 41 | Colorado | 49.3 | 41 | Oregon | 49.0 |
| 42 | New York | 24.6 | 42 | Alaska | 47.1 | 42 | New Hampshire | 48.7 |
| 43 | Alaska | 24.3 | 43 | Vermont | 40.2 | 43 | North Dakota | 45.9 |
| 44 | California | 24.1 | 44 | New Hampshire | 39.5 | 44 | Utah | 45.8 |
| 45 | Virginia | 23.9 | 45 | North Dakota | 37.2 | 45 | Maine | 45.7 |
| 46 | Connecticut | 22.1 | 46 | Maine | 31.7 | 46 | West Virginia | 45.0 |
| 47 | Idaho | 21.0 | 47 | Hawaii | 29.7 | 47 | Idaho | 43.3 |
| 48 | New Jersey | 17.7 | 48 | Idaho | n/a | 48 | Colorado | 36.3 |
| 49 | Colorado | 17.5 | 49 | Montana | n/a | 49 | Alaska | 34.9 |
| 50 | Utah | 13.6 | 50 | Utah | n/a | 50 | Alabama | 24.1 |
| 51 | District of Columbia | 7.0 | 51 | Wyoming | n/a | 51 | Vermont | n/a |

Note: "N/a" denotes states in which the specified racial/ethnic population makes up fewer than 1.5 percent of all births.
Source: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2008, NHS data.

## 2010 CENSUS SELECTED U.S. COUNTIES \& LARGE CITIES

## TEXAS

San Antonio, Tx: Married households - 42.8\%<br>Married with children - 37.0\%<br>Percent of minor child households married: 59.0\%<br>Population: 1,327,407<br>Houston, Tx: Married households - 39.0\%<br>Married with children - 18.7\%<br>Percent of minor child households married: 54.3\%<br>Population: 2,099,451<br>El Paso County, Tx: Third Poorest U.S. Metropolitan Region<br>Married households - 50.8\%<br>Married with children - $26.0 \%$<br>Percent of minor child households married: 55.6\%<br>Population: 800,647<br>El Paso, Tx: Married households - 48.5\%<br>Married with children -26.9\%<br>Percent of minor child households married: 54.1\%<br>Population: 649,121<br>Safest large city: 2010-2013 (4 yrs)

## MICHIGAN

WAYNE COUNTY

Wayne County: Married households - 37.4\%
Married with children - 15.8\%
Percent of minor child households married: 47.6\%
Population: 1,820,584
Detroit: Married households - 21.5\%
Married with children - 8.5\%
Percent of minor child households married: 24.7\%
Population: 713,777
Dearborn Heights: Married households - 46.0\%
Married with children - 20.3\%
Percent of minor child households married: 64.2\%
Population: 57,774

Dearborn: Married households - 49.8\%
Married with children - 27.3\%
Percent of minor child households married: 73.6\%
Population: 98,153
Livonia: Married households - 55.9\%
Married with children - 21.4\%
Percent of minor child households married: 77.5\%
Population: 96,942
Plymouth Twp: Married households - 59.4\%
Married with children - 23.5\%
Percent of minor child households married: 80.4\%
Population: 27,524
Plymouth: Married households - 40.6\%
Married with children - 18.7\%
Percent of minor child households married: 73.5\%
Population: 9,132
Canton: Married households - 60.3\%
Married with children - 30.4\%
Percent of minor child households married: 76.2\%
Population: 90,173
Westland: Married households - 37.5\%
Married with children - 15.1\%
Percent of minor child households married: 51.8\%
Population: 84, 094
Taylor: Married households - 41.4\%
Married with children - 15.7\%
Percent of minor child households married: 45.1\%
Population: 63,131
Lincoln Park: Married households - 40.8\%
Married with children - 17.7\%
Percent of minor child households married: 52.5\%
Population: 38,144
Hamtramck: Married households - 40.3\%
Married with children - 25.4\%
Percent of minor child households married: 59.3\%
Population: 22,423
Highland Married households - 13.0\%
Park: Married with children - 4.7\%
Percent of minor child households married: 16.5\%
Population: 11,776

Redford: Married households - 41.9\%
Married with children - 18.1\%
Percent of minor child households married: 56.0\%
Population: 48,362
OAKLAND COUNTY

Oakland County: Married households - 50.7\%<br>Married with children - 22.8\%<br>Percent of minor child households married: 69.5\%<br>Population: 1,202,362<br>Troy: Married households - 62.8\%<br>Married with children - 28.5.0\%<br>Percent of minor child households married: 82.7\%<br>Population: 80,707

Farmington Hills: Married households - 50.7\%
Married with children - 21.7 \%
Percent of minor child households married: 75.2\%
Population: 78,740
Royal Oak: Married households - 36.7\%
Married with children - 14.6\%
Percent of minor child households married: 73.7\%
Population: 57,236
Waterford: Married households - 46.7\%
Married with children - 19.4\%
Percent of minor child households married: 63.4\%
Population: 71,980
Pontiac: Married households - 26.5\%
Married with children - 11.3\%
Percent of minor child households married: 32.1\%
Population: 59,515
Birmingham: Married households - 48.4\% \%
Married with children - 22.9\%
Percent of minor child households married: 77.0\%
Population: 20,013
Rochester Hills, MI: Married households - 59.2\%
Married with children - 26.4\%
Percent of minor child households married: 80.1\%
Population: 70,995
Southfield, MI: Married households - 33.4\%Married with children - 22.9\%Percent of minor child households married: 44.3\%Population: 71,739
Novi, MI: Married households - 54.1\%Married with children - 28.1\%Percent of minor child households married: 80.4\%Population: 55,224
West Bloomfield MI: Married households - 63.2\%
Married with children - 27.2\%
Percent of minor child households married: 81.6\%
Population: 64,690
Bloomfield Twp MI: Married households - 63.5\%Married with children - 23.7\%
Percent of minor child households married: 81.4\%
Population: 41,070
Commerce MI: Married households - 62.3\%
Married with children - 30.1\%Percent of minor child households married: 77.6\%Ferndale MI: Married households - 30.3\%Married with children - 11.8\%
Percent of minor child households married: 58.9\%
Population: 19,900
Auburn Hills MI: Married households - 38.8\%
Married with children - 15.4\%Percent of minor child households married: 58.9\%Population: 21,412
Madison Heights: Married households - 41.0\%Married with children - 16.6\%Percent of minor child households married: 61.2\%
Population: 29,694
Oak Park MI: Married households - 35.4\%
Married with children - 14.7\%Percent of minor child households married: 44.6\%Population: 29,319

Hazel Park MI: Married households - 62.3\%
Married with children - 13.7\%
Percent of minor child households married: 43.9\%
Population: 16,186

Macomb County: Married households - 49.7\%
Married with children - 20.6\%
Percent of minor child households married: 70.9\%
Population: 840,978
Sterling Heights: Married households - 55.0\%
Married with children - 22.3\%
Percent of minor child households married: 72.4\%
Population: 129,699

Warren: Married households - 33.7\%
Married with children - 16.9\%
Percent of minor child households married: 56.0\%
Population: 134,056
Harrison Chtr Twp: Married households - 43.2\%
Married with children - 15.5\%
Percent of minor child households married: 62.8\%
Population: 24,587
Macomb Twp: Married households - 70.8\%
Married with children - 36.8\%
Percent of minor child households married: 83.2\%
Population: 79,580
Clinton Twp: Married households - 42.0\%
Married with children - 15.3\%
Percent of minor child households married: 56.1\%
Population: 96,796
Shelby Twp, MI: Married households - 58.7\%
Married with children - 23.7\%
Percent of minor child households married: 74.5\%
Population: 73, 804

Roseville MI: Married households - 38.3\%
Married with children - 15.6\%
Percent of minor child households married: 51.7\%
Population: 47,299
St. Claire Shores: Married households - 43.6\%
Married with children - 15.7\%
Percent of minor child households married: 64.7\%
Population: 59,715
Mount Clemens: Married households - 30.6\%
Married with children - 11.3\%
Percent of minor child households married: 44.7\%
Population: 16,314
Chesterfield Twp: Married households - 56.0\%
Married with children - 26.5\%
Percent of minor child households married: 70.2\%
Population: 43,381
Eastpointe: Married households - 39.9\%
Married with children - 17.7\%
Percent of minor child households married: 51.6\%
Population: 32,442
Washington Twp: Married households - 64.9\%
Married with children - 26.6\%
Percent of minor child households married: 76.9\%
Population: 25,139
OTHER MICHIGAN COMMUNITIES

Grand Rapids MI: Married households - 35.5\%
Married with children - 16.3\%
Percent of minor child households married: 53.4\%
Population: 188,040
Lansing MI: Married households - 30.8\%
Married with children - 13.4\%
Percent of minor child households married: 46.3\%
Population: 114, 297
Ann Arbor MI: Married households - 34.2\%Married with children - 14.7\%Percent of minor child households married: 73.8\%Population: 113,934
Flint MI: Married households - 23.1\%Married with children - 9.5\%Percent of minor child households married: 28.3\%Population: 102,434
Kalamazoo MI: Married households - 26.1\%
Married with children - 11.3\%
Percent of minor child households married: 44.5\%
Population: 74,261
Ypsilanti, MI: Married households - 39.1\%Married with children - 18.9\%
Percent of minor child households married: 55.8\%
Population: 53,362
Saginaw, MI: Married households - 26.9\%
Married with children - 11.6\%
Percent of minor child households married: 32.7\%
Population: 51,508
Kentwood, MI: Married households - 45.3\%Married with children - 20.8\%
Percent of minor child households married: 64.1\%
Population: 48,707
Hillsdale County: Married households - 55.8\%Married with children - 20.5\%
Percent of minor child households married: 68.1\%Hillsdale MI: Married households - 37.7\%Married with children - 16.4\%Percent of minor child households married: 53.6\%
Population: 8305
Wyandotte MI: Married households - 42.6\%
Married with children - 17.4\%
Percent of minor child households married: 62.1\%
Population: 25,883

## CITY \& COUNTY DATA OTHER STATES

## NEW YORK

New York, NY: Married households - 35.3\%
Married with children - 15.9\%
Percent of minor child households married: 51.4\%
Population: 8,175,133
Bronx ${ }^{24}$, NY: Married households - 28.3\%
Married with children - 14.0\%
Percent of minor child households married: 34.9\%
Population: 1,385,108

## CALIFORNIA

Los Angeles, CA: Married households - 39.6\%
Married with children - 19.3\%
Percent of minor child households married: 57.6\%
Population: 3,792,621
Los Angeles Married households - 45.7\%
County, CA: Married with children - 22.3\%
Percent of minor child households married: 60.0\%
Population: 9,818,605

## ILLINOIS

Cook County, IL: Married households - 40.9\%
Married with children - 18.4\%
Percent of minor child households married: 57.8\%
Population: 5,194,675
Chicago, IL: ${ }^{25}$ Married households - 32.0\%
Married with children - 14.4\%
Percent of minor child households married: 48.1\%
Population: 2,695,598

Schamburg, IL: Married households - 48.8\%
Married with children - 27.0\%
Percent of minor child households married: 74.1\%
Population: 74,227

[^6]Evanston, IL: Married households - 39.8\%
Married with children - 18.0\%
Percent of minor child households married: 69.5\%
Population: 74,486
Des Plaines, IL: Married households - 52.4\%
Married with children - 21.5\%
Percent of minor child households married: 75.2\%
Population: 58,364
Cicero, IL: Married households - 52.6\%
Married with children - 34.6\%
Percent of minor child households married: 60.5\%
Population: 83,891
Elgin, IL: Married households - 52.8\%
Married with children - 27.2\%
Percent of minor child households married: 65.3\%
Population: 108,188

## OHIO

Cleveland, OH: Married households - 22.4\%
Married with children - 8.0\%
Percent of minor child households married: 27.2\%
Population: 396, 815
Columbus, OH: Married households - 32.0\%
Married with children - 13.8\%
Percent of minor child households married: 47.6\%
Population: 787,033
Cincinnati, OH: Married households - 23.2\%
Married with children - 9.1\%
Percent of minor child households married: 33.5\%
Population: 296,943
Toledo, OH:Married households - 31.6\%
Married with children - 11.7\%
Percent of minor child households married: 39.3\%
Population: 287,208
Akron, OH: Married households - 31.3\%
Married with children - 11.3\%
Percent of minor child households married: 39.8\%
Population: 199,110

## NEVADA

Las Vegas: Married households - 44.4\%
Married with children - 19.9\%
Percent of minor child households married: 57.1\%
Population: 583,756
Reno: Married households - 38.4\%
Married with children - 16.9\%
Percent of minor child households married: 57.6\%
Population: 225,221
Henderson: Married households - 50..8\%
Married with children - 19.4\%
Percent of minor child households married: 63.4\%
Population: 257,729

## WASHINGTON, DC AREA

Washington, DC: Married households - 21.9\%
Married with children - 7.9\%
Percent of minor child households married: 38.8\%
Population: 601,723

## MARYLAND

Baltimore MD: Married households - 24.1\%
Married with children - 8.4\%
Percent of minor child households married: 30.1\%
Population: 601,723
Prince George's County
Prince George's: Married households - 40.1\%
County Married with children -17.8\%
Percent of minor child households married: 49.3\%
Population: 863, 420
Bowie: Married households - 53.2\%
Married with children - 24.5\%
Percent of minor child households married: 67.0\%
Population: 54,727
Capitol Heights: Married households - 34.0\%
Married with children - 15.1\%
Percent of minor child households married: 36.5\%
Population: 4,337

| District Heights: | Married households - 35.0\% |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Married with children - 12.8\% |
|  | Percent of minor child households married: 32.1\% |
|  | Population: 5,837 |
|  | Central County |
| Central County: | Married households - 57.8\% |
|  | Married with children - 26.8\% |
|  | Percent of minor child households married: 72.3\% |
|  | Population: 233, 385 |
| Mitchellville: | Married households - 54.8\% |
|  | Married with children - 21.9\% |
|  | Percent of minor child households married: 57.8\% |
|  | Population: 10,967 |
|  | Montgomery County |
| Montgomery County: | Married households - 53.8\% |
|  | Married with children - 26.5\% |
|  | Percent of minor child households married: 72.5\% |
|  | Population: 971,777 |
| Potomac: | Married households - 72.4\% |
|  | Married with children - 31.7\% |
|  | Percent of minor child households married: 85.4\% |
|  | Population: 44,965 |
|  | VIRGINIA |
| Arlington VA: County | Married households - 33.9\% |
|  | Married with children - 14.9\% |
|  | Percent of minor child households married: 77.5\% |
|  | Population: 207,627 |
| Fairfax VA: County | Married households - 57.4\% |
|  | Married with children - 29.0\% |
|  | Percent of minor child households married: 79.6\% |
|  | Population: 1,081,726 |
| Alexandria VA: | Married households - 33.5\% |
|  | Married with children - 13.6\% |
|  | Percent of minor child households married: 66.7\% |
|  | Population: 139,966 |

> Bethesda MD: Married households - 53.5\%

Married with children - 25.8\%
Percent of minor child households married: 85.5\%
Population: 60,858

## 2010 CENSUS DATA SELECTED U.S. STATES

California: Married households - 49.4\%
Married with children - 25.3\%
Percent of minor child households married: 68.4\%
Population: 37,253,956
Texas: Married households - 50.6\%
Married with children - 23.7
Percent of minor child households married: 60.9\%
Population: 25,145,561
Michigan: Married households - 48\%
Married with children - 18.9\%
Percent of minor child households married: 59.7\%
Population: 9,883,640
New York: Married households - 43.6\%
Married with children -18.7\%
Percent of minor child households married: 59\%
Population: 19,378,102
Massachussets: Married households - 46.3 \%
Married with children - 19.7\%
Percent of minor child households married: 64.7\%
Population: 6,547,629
Illinois: Married households -48.2\%
Married with children - $21 \%$
Percent of minor child households married: 62.7\%
Population: 12,830,632
Ohio: Married households - 47.2\%
Married with children - 18.2\%
Percent of minor child households married: 58.2\%
Population: 11,536,504
Nevada: Married households - 46.0\%
Married with children - 19.6\%
Percent of minor child households married: 58.8\%
Population: 2,700,551

Colorado: Married households - 49.2\%
Married with children - 21.4
Percent of minor child households married: 66.4\%
Population: 5,029,196
Maryland: Married households - 47.6\%
Married with children - 20.4
Percent of minor child households married: 60.4\%
Population: 5,773,552
Florida: Married households - 46.6\%
Married with children - 18.0
Percent of minor child households married: 61.4\%
Population: 18,801,301
Mississippi: Married households - 46.6\%
Married with children - 17.8
Percent of minor child households married: 61.4\%
Population: 2,967,297

## UNWED BIRTHS BY SELECTED U.S. CITIES ${ }^{26}$

Colorado Springs 25\%
San Francisco, CA 25\%
San Diego, CA 29\%
Portland, OR 31\%
El Paso, TX 43\%
Boston, MA 43\%
New York, NY 44\%
San Antonio, TX 46\%
Columbus, OH 48\%
Las Vegas, NV 48\%
Boston, MA 43\%
Grand Rapids, MI 49\%
Houston, TX 49\%
Chicago, IL $50 \%$
Los Angeles, CA 51\%
Atlanta, GA 53\%
Dallas, TX 54\%
Washington, DC 54\%
Philadelphia, PA 64\%
Pontiac 73\%
Cleveland, OH 76\%
Detroit, MI 80\%

[^7]
## UNWED BIRTHS BY SELECTED MICHIGAN CITIES ${ }^{27}$

| Highland Park | $89 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Detroit \& Flint | $80 \%$ |
| Saginaw | $77 \%$ |
| Pontiac | $73 \%$ |
| Inkster | $73 \%$ |
| Jackson | $63 \%$ |
| Taylor | $59 \%$ |
| Lansing | $54 \%$ |
| Eastpointe | $52 \%$ |
| Southfield | $50 \%$ |
| Roseville | $50 \%$ |
| Warren | $47 \%$ |
| Grand Rapids | $49 \%$ |
| Oak Park | $48 \%$ |
| Ypsilanti | $46 \%$ |
| Redford | $45 \%$ |
| Wyandotte | $45 \%$ |
| Clinton Twp. | $45 \%$ |
| Waterford | $35 \%$ |
| White Lake | $30 \%$ |
| Dearborn Heights | $29 \%$ |
| Livonia | $21 \%$ |
| Canton Twp. | $20 \%$ |
| Farmington Hills | $19 \%$ |
| Novi | $18 \%$ |
| Ann Arbor | $16 \%$ |
| Royal Oak | $15 \%$ |
| Macomb Twp | $13 \%$ |
| Rochester Hills | $13 \%$ |
| Dearborn | $12 \%$ |
| Troy | $11 \%$ |
| Plymouth Twp. | $10 \%$ |
| Bloomfield Twp. | $6 \%$ |
|  |  |

[^8]
## UNWED BIRTHS BY SELECTED NATIONS (2007 Data) ${ }^{28}$

| Japan | $2 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| United States | $40 \%$ |
| Italy | $21 \%$ |
| Spain | $28 \%$ |
| Canada | $30 \%$ |
| Germany | $30 \%$ |
| Ireland | $33 \%$ |
| United Kingdom | $44 \%$ |
| Denmark | $46 \%$ |
| France | $50 \%$ |
| Norway | $54 \%$ |
| Sweden | $55 \%$ |
| Iceland | $66 \%$ |

## KEY ABORTION FACTS

- $85 \%$ of abortions occur in unmarried women
- $58 \%$ of women obtaining abortions are in their 20 's
- 69\% are disadvantaged
- $18 \%$ of U.S. pregnancies are aborted
- $17 \%$ of Michigan pregnancies are aborted
- $35 \%$ of teen pregnancies end with abortion

What does unwed birthing mean? It means that $90 \%$ of those unwed babies will grow up in broken homes to suffer terribly. About $85 \%$ of our prison population grew up in broken homes. Most of these babies will not ever experience marriage in their lifetimes.

Along the way to reaching adulthood, these children will have a six-fold greater incidence of poverty, very high rates of abuse \& neglect, mental and physical illness, behavioral disorders, trauma \& violence, school failure, and contact with the criminal justice system. As adults, their problems will continue and manifest in more unwed births, abortions, divorces, child abuse \& neglect, suicides, and higher risk for homicides. When they work, their citizenship, attendance and productivity will be lower than average, and their healthcare costs will be much higher, realities which discourage businesses when starting and locating in our region and in Michigan.

Michigan is the 8th largest state, but it is a welfare state. The Friend of the Court manages one million cases under their supervision because Michigan Compiled Law,

[^9]ordinances, and policies, buttressed by Social Security Welfare and Child Support Enforcement incentives reduce marriage to a mere status and deny Constituitonal protection to the "I do" of marriage contract and covenant. Children are totally defenseless and religious civil liberties concerning the practice of faith and defense of children in marriage \& family life have been effectively dissolved. There are 2.3 million children in Michigan.

Marriage is the answer, the most cost-effective medicine to transform Michigan into a thriving, healthy state.
—Michael T. Ross, M.D.

## Contact:

E-mail: Michael@DOFH.org
Cell: (248) 561-7272
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